# Genetic Variation and *De Novo* Mutations in the Parthenogenetic Caucasian Rock Lizard *Darevskia unisexualis*

## Tatiana N. Badaeva\*, Daria N. Malysheva, Vitaly I. Korchagin, Alexei P. Ryskov

Laboratory of Genome Organization, Institute of Gene Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

## Abstract

Unisexual all-female lizards of the genus *Darevskia* that are well adapted to various habitats are known to reproduce normally by true parthenogenesis. Although they consist of unisexual lineages and lack effective genetic recombination, they are characterized by some level of genetic polymorphism. To reveal the mutational contribution to overall genetic variability, the most straightforward and conclusive way is the direct detection of mutation events in pedigree genotyping. Earlier we selected from genomic library of *D. unisexualis* two polymorphic microsatellite containg loci *Du281* and *Du215*. In this study, these two loci were analyzed to detect possible *de novo* mutations in 168 parthenogenetic offspring of 49 *D. unisexualis* mothers and in 147 offspring of 50 *D. armeniaca* mothers . No mutant alleles were detected in *D. armeniaca* offspring at both loci, and in *D. unisexualis* offspring at the *Du215* locus. There were a total of seven mutational events in the germ lines of four of the 49 *D. unisexualis* mothers at the Du281 locus, yielding the mutation rate of 0.1428 events per germ line tissue. Sequencing of the mutant alleles has shown that most mutations occur via deletion or insertion of single microsatellite repeat being identical in all offspring of the family. This indicates that such mutations emerge at the early stages of embryogenesis. In this study we characterized various types of mutant alleles of this locus found in the *D. unisexualis* offspring of the first generation. Our data has shown that microsatellite mutations at highly unstable loci can make a significant contribution to population variability of parthenogenetic lizards.

Citation: Badaeva TN, Malysheva DN, Korchagin VI, Ryskov AP (2008) Genetic Variation and *De Novo* Mutations in the Parthenogenetic Caucasian Rock Lizard *Darevskia unisexualis*. PLoS ONE 3(7): e2730. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002730

Editor: Hans Ellegren, University of Uppsala, Sweden

Received March 31, 2008; Accepted June 22, 2008; Published July 23, 2008

**Copyright:** © 2008 Badaeva et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This work was partly supported by the Leading Scientific School and Program of President of Russian Federation for financial support of young scientist 02.120.11.23498 MK-4748.2007.4. and MK-2106.2008.4. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: badaeva\_t@mail.ru

## Introduction

Unisexuality in vertebrates has attracted wide attention since it was discovered. In squamate reptiles, unisexuality originates from interspecific hybridization between bisexual species and represents true parthenogenesis [1,2]. They propagate via an aberrant gametogenetic mechanism that inhibits genetic recombination and causes clonal inheritance [1]. Hence the progeny consist of only genetically identical females with clonal inheritance in the next generation. Clonal reproduction and clonal diversity are the two features of unisexual vertebrates that make them attractive as model organisms in such areas as evolutionary ecology, genetics, cellular and molecular biology.

Parthenogenetic lizards of the genus *Darevskia* (formerly *Lacerta* [3]) were the first reptiles to be identified as unisexual [4]. Seven diploid all-female species are currently known, all from the Caucasus Mountains of Armenia [1,5,6]. Previous studies on these parthenogenetic species revealed some degree of allozyme variation [7–11] and low variability of mitochondrial DNA [12]. However multilocus DNA fingerprinting revealed very high levels of genetic variation in parthenogenetic populations of *Darevskia unisexualis*, *D. armeniaca*, *D. dahli* and *D. rostombecovi* [13–16]. The possible sources of such variation in parthenogenetic populations

may be associated with multiple origins of clones from different pairs of founders, mutations, rare hybridization events, or some level of genetic recombination [8,17–20]. However, the contribution of each of those events to the overall genetic variation remains unknown. The most straightforward and conclusive way to assess the mutational contribution to genetic variation is the direct detection of mutational events from pedigree genotyping [21]. Multilocus DNA fingerprinting with various microsatellite probes detected intrafamily variability of fingerprint patterns in *D. unisexualis* and *D. armeniaca* lizards [22,23]. These results imply that unstable loci may exist intheir genomes, but the real nature of such loci and supposed mutations remains obscure.

Recently Korchagin et al. (2007) cloned and sequenced a number of microsatellite loci of the parthenogenetic species *D. unisexualis.* Among several loci analyzed in detail only two, *Du281* (GenBank accession number AY 442143) and *Du215* (GenBank accession number AY 574928), which contain (GATA)<sub>n</sub> repeats were polymorphic. However until now there was no information about genetical stability of those loci.

In the present work, Du281 and Du215 were tested in a pedigree based analysis to enable the detection of possible *de novo* mutations in parthenogenetic offspring of *D. unisexualis* and *D. armeniaca* lizards.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Reproductively mature females of D. unisexualis and D. armeniaca were collected from natural habitats of western and central Armenia. The animals were maintained in separate enclosures in the laboratory until they began to produce eggs. The eggs were incubated under laboratory conditions. Genomic DNA was extracted from blood by standard phenol-chloroform extraction and resuspended in TE\_buffer of pH 8.0. The loci Du281 and Du215 were amplified with the previously described primers (Du281: 5'TTGCTAATCTGAA-TAACTG3'. 5'TCCTGCTGAGAAAGACCA3': Du215: 5'CAA-CTAGCAGTAGCTCTCCAGA3', 5'CCAGACAGGCCCCAA-CTT3') [24]. PCR reaction mix (20 µl) contained 20–40 ng genomic DNA, 1 × PCR buffer (Dialat), 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, dNTP 0.25 mM each, and 0.625 units of Taq-polymerase (Dialat). Amplification conditions were: 94C for 3 min and then 40 cycles of 94C 1 min, 50C for 40 s, 72C for 40 s, followed by 72C for 5 min. The products, averaging about 200 bp in size, were separated by electrophoresis on a 8% native polyacrylamide gel (PAAG) and visualized on a ultraviolet light table following ethidium bromide staining. Amplified fragments were excised from the PAAG, purified and cloned into pMos blue vectors following standard procedures (pMos blueBlunt ended Cloning kit RPN 5110, Amersham Biosciences). The clones were amplified in MOSBlue competent cells grown at 37°C, and sequenced. The PCR products were cloned and sequenced using the chain termination reaction with ABI PRISM<sup>®</sup> BigDye<sup>TM</sup> Terminator v. 3.1 on an ABI PRISM 3100-Avant Genetic Analyzer.

The sequences of the allelic variants of the PCR products were compared using the MegAlign program (DNASTAR).

All animal procedures were carried out according to ethical principles and scientific standards of the ethical committee of Moscow State University.

# Results

In total DNA samples of 217 lizards (49 mothers and 168 offspring ) of *D. unisexualis* and 197 lizards (50 mothers and 147 offspring) of *D. ameniaca* were screened by locus-specific PCR. Mutant alleles were detected as changes in the electrophoretic mobility of PCR amplification products obtained from mother and their offspring. No mutant alleles were detected in *D. ameniaca* offspring at both *Du281* and *Du215* loci, and in *D. unisexualis* offspring at *Du215* locus. Figure 1 shows typical example of families where no intrafamily variation of PCR products was revealed. At the same time, 15 mutant alleles among offspring of four *D. unisexualis* mothers were found at the *Du281* locus (Fig. 2). These data show that the *Du281* locus of *D. unisexualis* is highly mutable, with an estimated mutation rate of 0.1428 events per germ line tissue.

To analyze the molecular structure of mutant alleles, we cloned and sequenced PCR products of the Du 281 locus from maternal and mutant offspring of D. unisexualis. Comparison of nucleotide sequences of mothers and their offspring revealed mutations only in (GATA)<sub>n</sub> microsatellite clusters, while no mutations were found in the flanking regions (Table 1). The haplotypes (T-A-T and C-G-C), formed by fixed point mutations in the flanking regions of microsatellite cluster, and specific for allelic variants of *Du281* [24] were used to mark maternal and corresponding offspring alleles. In family 1, consisting of the mother and one offspring, the deletion of one GATA monomer in microsatellite cluster was found in both offspring alleles. In family 2, consisting of the mother and five offspring, only one offspring allele marked by haplotype C-G-C was mutant with the deletion of GATATA in the microsatellite cluster of all offspring. In family 3, consisting of the mother and two offspring, an insertion of one GATA monomer was found in the microsatellite cluster of both offspring alleles. Family 4,



**Figure 1. Examples of families where where no intrafamily variation of PCR products was revealed.** a – Du281 locus, *D. armeniaca* family; b – 215 locus, *D. armeniaca* family; c – 215 locus, *D. unisexualis* family. Maternal DNAs are marked by M, offspring DNAs are shown by arrows and numbered in each family. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002730.g001

consisting of the mother and four offspring, represent a more complicated case. While no mutations were observed among offspring alleles marked by haplotype T-A-T, different pattern of mutation was found among offspring alleles marked by haplotype C-G-C. In three offspring the mutatnt alleles revealed a deletion of one GATA monomer, but in another offspring a GATATA sequence was lost in the microsatellite cluster.

In summary, single repeat unit changes dominated (in microsatellite clusters of all offspring of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> family and offspring 1, 2 and 4 of the 4<sup>th</sup> family). In two families (all offspring of family 2 and offspring 2 of family 4) maternal and offspring microsatellite differed from each other by the deletion of GATATA imperfect monomer. Mutation may occur in both (1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> families) or only in one allele (2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> family). In three out of four families the patterns of mutations were similar in all offspring. The offspring of family 4 showed different pattern of mutations: in most individuals the mutant allele arose as a result of the loss of one microsatellite monomer, while in another it was the loss of imperfect monomer GATATA.



Figure 2. Intrafamily electrophoretic variability of PCR products amplified at Du281 locus of parthenogenetic lizards Darevskia unisexualis. a - family 1, b - family 2, c - family 3, d - family 4. Maternal DNAs are marked by M1-M4 respectively; offspring DNAs are shown by arrows and numbered in each family. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002730.g002

Family 1.	
Maternal (M1) allele 1	•••T•••A•••(GATA)9 (GATA) GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)•••T•••
Offspring (1) allele 1	•••T•••A•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)•••T•••
Maternal (M1) allele 2	•••C•••G•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> <b>(GATA)</b> (GATA)TA(GATA)•••G•••
Offspring (1) allele 2	•••C•••G•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> (GATA)TA(GATA)•••G•••
Family 2.	
Maternal (M2) allele 1	•••T•••A•••(GATA)9 GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)•••T•••
Offspring (1–5) allele 1	•••T•••A•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)•••T•••
Maternal (M2) allele 2	•••C•••G•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> <b>(GATA)TA</b> (GATA)•••G•••
Offspring (1–5) allele 2	•••C•••G•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> (GATA)•••G•••
Family 3.	
Maternal (M3) allele 1	•••T•••A•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)•••T•••
Offspring (1, 2) allele 1	•••T•••A•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> (GATA)GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)•••T•••
Maternal (M3) allele 2	•••C•••G•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> (GATA)TA(GATA)•••G•••
Offspring (1, 2) allele 2	•••C•••G•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> <b>(GATA)</b> (GATA)TA(GATA)•••G•••
Family 4.	
Maternal (M4) allele 1	•••T•••A•••(GATA)9 GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)•••T•••
Offspring (1, 3, 4) allele 1	•••T•••A•••(GATA)9 GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)•••T•••
Offspring (2) allele 1	•••T•••A•••(GATA)9 GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)•••T•••
Maternal (M4) allele 2	•••C•••G•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> (GATA) (GATA)TA(GATA)•••G•••
Offspring (1, 3, 4) allele 2	•••C•••G•••(GATA)9 (GATA)TA (GATA)•••G•••
Offspring (2) allele2	•••C•••G•••(GATA) <sub>9</sub> (GATA) (GATA)•••G•••

Table 1. Allelic variants of microsatellite clusters of Du281 locus in parthenogenetic lizard families D. unisexualis.

Variations in microsatellite clusters are denoted by bold letters. T-A-T and C-G-C are haplotypes specific for allelic variants of D. unisexualis [24]. In Family 2 the observed changes were the same in all offspring (1–5). In family 3 the observed changes were the same in all offspring(1, 2). In Family 4 the observed changes are the same in three offspring (1, 3 and 4).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002730.t001

## Discussion

Unisexual vertebrates are useful model organisms for studying genome diversity because various mutational events can be easily detected in in pedigree genotyping. The genetic variation of the majority of such species is low in comparison with their sexual progenitors, and they face severe genetic and ecological restraints [2,25]. Their genome and clonal diversity may arise as a result of mutations, multiple hybridization events, or some level of recombination occurring during continued clonal reproduction and the evolution of species [26]. In this study we characterized single highly unstable (GATA)<sub>n</sub> containing locus in parthenogenetic lizard species D. unisexualis. Additionally, we characterized various types of mutant alleles of this locus found in the D. unisexualis offspring of the first generation. Comparison of maternal and offspring alleles of two polymorphic loci revealed *de novo* mutations only at the Du281 locus in D. unisexualis offspring with the mutation rate of 0.1428 events per germ line tissue. This correlates with a higher level of population polymorphism in Du281 in comparison with Du215. For instance, six and three allelic variants were detected among 65 D. unisexualis individuals for Du281 and Du215, respectively [24]. According to Malysheva [22] only three allelic variants of the Du215 locus were detected in D. armeniaca populations. The obtained mutation rate for Du281 is comparable with the earlier results of DNA fingerprinting analysis. For instance, in D. unisexualis families the observed mutational rate was  $0.9 \times 10^{-2}$  per microsatellite band/per sibling when using a (GATA)<sub>4</sub> hybridization probe [23]. These values are also within the range reported for individual microsatellite loci in bisexual species (from  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-4}$  per locus/per gamete) [21,27–29]. For instance, pedigree analysis of mutations at human microsatellite loci gave estimates of mean mutational rates of  $3 \times 10^{-3}$ - $6 \times 10^{-4}$ [21]. Genethon's extensive genotyping of >500 microsatellite loci in human population has suggested a lower mean genomic mutation rate, of  $10^{-4}$  [30,31]. A mutation rate of  $5.7 \times 10^{-3}$  was reported for  $(AAAG)_n$  tetranucleotide repeat locus in the barn swallow (Hirunda rustica) [27]. In the Australian lizard Egernia stokesii the mutational rate for  $(AAAG)_n$  locus was  $4.2 \times 10^{-2}$  [28]. A hypervariable microsatellite  $(\widetilde{TATC})_n$  with a mutation rate of  $1.7 \times 10^{-2}$  was found in the human X chromosome [32]. Some

rodent-specific loci containing  $(GGCAGG)_n$  repeat motif showed germ-line mutation rates up to  $8.8 \times 10^{-2}$  per gamete [33].

Direct records of new length variants identified in comparisons between parents and offspring loci may be considered the most unambiguous way for analyzing mutational process in the germ line [27,29]. Unisexual reptiles reproduce clonally and have a low level of recombinational events, thus all of the observed changes in microsatellite cluster are probably mutations. In three out of four D. unisexualis families the patterns of mutations were similar in all offspring, suggesting that mutation must be occurring in the mitotic creation of germ-line tissue, such that multiple oocytes would carry the same mutation.. The offspring of one family (offspring 3, family 4) showed different pattern of mutations: in most offsprings the mutant allele arose following the loss of a monomer, while in one offspring the imperfect monomer GATATA was lost. This offspring could mutate twice, first together with all other offspring and the second time at a later stage of differentiation, in turns, this mutation could have occurred once at the later stage of differentiation of germ line cells or even in a zygote. Studies of germ line microsatellite mutations, mainly in humans, found that mutations involving the gain or loss of a single repeat unit are much more frequent than multistep mutations [29]. In our findings we observed a mutation that occurred following the loss of an imperfect (GATATA) monomer, i.e. it involved more than one monomer. In other three families the

## References

- Darevsky IS, Kupriyanova LA, Uzzel T (1985) Parthenogenesis in Reptiles. In Gans C, Billett DF, eds. Biology of Reptilia. New York: John Wiley & Sons 15: 413–526.
- Dawley RM (1989) An introduction to unisexual vertebrates. In Dawley RM, Bogart JP, eds. Evolution and Ecology of Unisexual vertebrates. Albany., N.Y.: New York State Museum Bull 466: 1–18.
- Arribas OJ (1999) Phylogeny and relationships of the mountain lizards of Europe and Near East (Archaeolacerta Mettlens, 1921, Sensu Lato) and their relationships among the Eurasian Lacertid lizards. Russian Journal of Herpetology 6(1): 1–22.
- Darevsky IS (1958) Natural parthenogenesis in certain subspecies of rock lizards (*Lacerta saxicola* Eversmann). Doklady Academii Nauk SSSR Biological Sciences 122: 730–732.
- Darevsky IS (1966) Natural parthenogenesis in a polymorphic group of Caucasian rock lizards related to *Lacerta saxicola* Eversmann. Journal of Herpetology 2: 65–69.
- Uzzell T, Darevsky IS (1975) Biochemical evidence for the hybrid origin of the parthenogenetic species of the *Lacerta saxicola* complex (Sauria: Lacertidae), with a discussion of some ecological and evolutionary implications. Copeia 2: 204–222.
- Fu J, MacCulloch RD, Murphy RW (1998) The parthenogenetic Rock Lizard Lacerta unisexualis: An Example of Limited Genetic Polymorphism. Journal of Molecular Evolution 46: 127–130.
- Fu J, MacCulloch RD, Murphy RW, Darevsky IS (2000) Divergence of the cytohrome b gene in the *Lacerta raddei* complex and its parthenogenetic daughter species: Evidence for recent multiple origins. Copeia 2: 432–440.
- MacCulloch RD, Murphy RW, Kupriyanova LA (1995) Clonal variation in the parthenogenetic rock lizard *Lacerta armeniaca*. Genome 38: 1057–1060.
- MacCulloch RD, Murphy RW, Kupriyanova LA, Darevsky IS (1997) The Caucasian rock lizard *Lacerta rostombekovi*: a monoclonal parthenogenetic vertebrate. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 25: 33–37.
- Murphy RW, Darevsky IS, MacCulloch RD (1997) Old age, multiple formations or genetic plasticity? Clonal diversity in the uniparental Caucasian rock lizard *Lacerta dahli*. Genetica 101: 125–130.
- Moritz C, Uzzel T, Spolsky C, Hotz H, Darevsky IS, et al. (1992) The maternal ancestry and approximate age of parthenogenetic species of Caucasian rock lizards (Lacerta: Lacertidae). Genetica 87: 53–62.
- Kan NG, Petrosyan VG, Martirosyan IA, Ryskov AP, Darevsky IS, et al. (1998) Genomic polymorphism of mini- and microsatellite loci of the parthenogenetic *Lacerta dahli* revealed by DNA fingerprinting. Molecular Biology 32: 672–678.
- Martirosyan IA, Ryskov AP, Petrosyan VG, Arakelyan MS, Aslanyan AV, et al. (2002) Variation of mini- and microsatellite DNA markers in populations of parthenogenetic rock lizard *Darevskia rostombekovi*. Russian Journal of Genetics 38: 691–698.
- Ryskov AP, Martirosyan IA, Badaeva TN, Korchagin VI, Danielyan FD, et al. (2002) Hyper unstable (TCT/TCC)<sub>n</sub> microsatellite loci in parthenogenetic lizards *Darevskia unisexualis* (Lacertidae). Russian Journal of Genetics 39: 986–992.
- Tokarskaya ON, Kan NG, Petrosyan VG (2001) Genetic variation in parthenogenetic Caucasian rock lizards of the genus Lacerta (L. dahli, L.

changes of electrophoretic mobility were caused by the deletion/ insertion of one GATA monomer, which fits with the stepwise mutation model [34].

Data from individual loci in several bisexual species [35] and pooled data on dinucleotide repeats in human genome [36,37] show directionality in the mutation process, with an excess of insertions over deletions. On the contrary, our data showed a significant trend for mutation to lead to a decrease in allele size recorded in 11 out of 15 observed cases. This may be due to the peculiarities of structural organization of Du281 locus, or to the specific features of the hybrid genome of D. unisexualis. Our data has shown that microsatellite mutations at highly unstable loci can make a significant contribution to population variability of parthenogenetic lizards.

## Acknowledgments

We are especially thankful to Olga N. Tokarskaya for helpful discussions, suggestions and comments.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: TNB AR. Performed the experiments: TNB DM VK. Analyzed the data: TNB DM VK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AR. Wrote the paper: TNB.

armeniaca, Lunisexualis) analyzed by DNA fingerprinting. Molecular Genetics and Genomics 265: 812–819.

- Cole CJ, Dessauer HC, Barrowclough GF (1988) Hybrid origin of a unisexual species of whiptail lizard, *Cnemidophorus neomexicanus*, in western North America: new evidence and a review. American Museum novitates New York, N.Y.: American Museum of Natural History. 2905: 1–38.
- Moritz C, Donnelan S, Adams M, Baverstock PR (1989) The origin and evolution of parthenogenesis in *Heteronotia binoei* (Gekkonidae): extensive genotypic diversity among parthenogens. Evolution 43: 994–1003.
- Parker ED (1979) Phenotypic consequences of parthenogenesis in Cnemidophorus lizards. Invariability in parthenogenetic and sexual populations. Evolution 33: 1150–1166.
- Parker ED, Selander RK (1976) The organization of genetic diversity in the parthenogenetic lizard *Cnemidophorus tesselatus*. Genetics 84: 791–805.
- Ellegren H (2000) Microsatellite mutations in germline: implications for evolutionary inference. Trends in Genetics 16: 551–558.
- Malysheva DN, Vergun AA, Tokarskaya ON, Sevastianova GA, Danielyan FD, et al. (2007) Nucleotide sequences of allelic variants of microsatellite containing loci Du 215 (arm) in parthenogenetic species *Darevskia armeniaca* (Lacertidae). Russian journal of genetics 43: 170–175.
- Tokarskaya ON, Martirosyan IA, Badaeva TN, Malysheva DN, Korchagin VI, et al. (2004) Instability of (GATA)<sub>n</sub> microsatellite loci in parthenogenetic Caucasian rock lizard *Darevskia unisexualis* (Lacertidae). Molecular Genetics and Genomics 270: 509–513.
- Korchagin VI, Badaeva TN, Tokarskaya ON, Martirosyan IA, Darevsky IS, et al. (2007) Molecular characterization of allelic variants of (GATA)<sub>n</sub> microsatellite loci in parthenogenetic lizards *Darevskia unisexualis* (Lacertidae). Gene 392: 126–133.
- Grebelnyi SD (2005) How many clonal species are there in the world. Invertebrate zoology 2: 79–102.
- Murphy RW, Fu J, MacCulloch RD, Darevsky IS, Kupriyanova LA (2000) A fine line between sex and unisexuality: the phylogenetic constraints on parthenogenesis in lacertid lizards. Zoological Journal of Linnean Society 130: 527–549.
- Brohede J, Primmer CR, Møller A, Ellegren H (2002) Heterogeneity in the rate and pattern of germline mutation at individual microsatellite loci. Nucleic Acids Research 30: 1997–2003.
- Gardner MG, Bull CM, Cooper SJB, Duffield GA (2000) Microsatellite mutations in litters of the Australian lizard *Egemia stokesii*. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 13: 551–560.
- Weber JL, Wong C (1993) Mutation of human short tandem repeats. Human Molecular Genetics 2: 1123–1128.
- Dib C, Faure S, Fizames C, Samson D, Drouot N (1966) A comprehensive genetic map of the human genome on 5,264 microsatellites. Nature 380: 152–154.
- Weissbach J, Gyapay G, Dib C, Vignal A, Morissette J (1992) A secondgeneration linkage map of the human genome. Nature 359: 794–801.

- Mahtani MM, Willard HF (1993) A polymorphic X-linked tetranucleotide repeat locus displaying a high rate of new mutation: implications for mechanisms of mutation at short tandem repeat loci. Human Molecular Genetics 2: 431–437.
- Mitani K, Takahashi Y, Kominami R (1990) A GGCAGG motif in minisatellites affecting their germline instability. Journal of Biological Chemistry 265: 15203–15210.
- Kimura M, Ohta T (1978) Stepwise mutation model and distribution of allelic frequencies in a finite population. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 75: 2868–2872.
- Primmer C, Salino RN, Møller AP, Ellegren H (1996) Directional evolution in germline microsatellite mutations. Nature Genetics 13: 391–393.
- Amos W, Sawcer SJ, Feacer RW, Rubinsztein DC (1996) Microsatellites show mutational bias and heterozygote instability. Nature Genetics 13: 390–391.
- Ellegren H (2000) Heterogeneous mutation process in human microsatellite DNA sequences. Nature Genetics 24: 400–402.