# Phenotypic variation in hatchling Mongolian racerunners *Eremias argus* from eggs incubated at constant versus fluctuating temperatures \*

HAO Qi-Lei<sup>1, 3</sup>, LIU Hong-Xia<sup>2</sup>, JI Xiang<sup>1, 2\*\*</sup>

- Hangzhou Key Laboratory for Animal Sciences and Technology, Hangzhou Normal College, Hangzhou 310036, Zhejiang, China
- Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Biodiversity and Biotechnology, College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210097, Jiangsu, China
- 3. College of Life Sciences, Shanxi Normal University, Linfen 041004, Shanxi, China

**Abstract** We used the Mongolian racerunner *Eremias argus* as a model animal to evaluate the effects of constant versus fluctuating incubation temperatures on hatching success and hatchling phenotypes. Eggs were incubated under four constant [24, 27, 30 and 33 ( $\pm 0.3$ )°C] and one fluctuating temperature regimes. Hatching success did not differ among treatments, and incubation temperature did not affect the sexual phenotype of hatchlings. Incubation length decreased exponentially as incubation temperature increased, and eggs incubated at fluctuating temperatures took a longer time to complete development than did those incubated at constant temperatures with the same mean. Of the hatchling were more likely to be affected by incubation temperature. Overall, locomotor performance was best in the low temperature treatments (30°C and fluctuating temperatures) in between. Our data show that: (1) daily exposure of eggs to extreme temperatures that are potentially lethal to embryos for brief periods does not have detectable adverse effects on hatching success and morphological phenotypes in *E.argus*; and (2) thermal fluctuations exert no positive effects on locomotor performance of hatchlings but influence incubation length differently than constant temperatures with the same mean [*Acta Zoologica Sinica* 52 (6): 1049 – 1057, 2006].

## 恒定和波动温度下丽斑麻蜥孵出幼体的表型变 异\*

#### 郝琦蕾<sup>1,3</sup> 刘红霞<sup>2</sup> 计 翔<sup>1,2\*\*</sup>

1. 杭州师范学院动物科学与技术杭州市重点实验室, 杭州 310036

2. 南京师范大学生命科学学院, 江苏省生物多样性和生物技术重点实验室, 南京 210097

3. 山西师范大学生命科学学院,山西临汾 041004

摘 要 作者以丽斑麻蜥(Eremias argus)为模型动物研究恒定和波动孵化温度对孵化成功率和孵出幼体表型 的影响。卵在四个恒定[24,27,30 and 33(±0.3)℃]、一个波动温度下孵化。不同温度处理下的孵化成功率相 同,但孵出幼体表型不同。孵化期随孵化温度升高呈指数式缩短;在相同平均温度下,波动温度孵化卵的孵化 期比恒温孵化卵长。在所有被检表型特征中,幼体的干重、剩余卵黄干重和运动表现更易受孵化温度影响。总

Key words Reptilia, Lacertidae, *Eremias argus*, Egg incubation, Hatching success, Hatchling phenotype, Locomotor performance

Received July 11, 2006; accepted August 16, 2006

<sup>\*</sup> This research was funded by grants from the local government of Zhejiang Province for the Key Discipline of Zoology and Nanjing Normal University

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail:xji@mail.hz.zj.cn © 2006 动物学报 Acta Zoologica Sinica

体而言,低温(24℃、27℃)孵出幼体运动表现最佳,高温(33℃)孵出幼体最差、温和温度(30℃和波动温度)孵出幼体居中。本文研究数据显示:(1)丽斑麻蜥卵每日短期暴露于潜在致死的极端温度下对孵化成功率 和孵出幼体形态特征无明显的不利效应;(2)温度波动对孵出幼体运动表现无促进作用,对孵化期的影响则不 同于平均值相同的恒定温度[动物学报 52(6):1049-1057,2006]。 关键词 爬行纲 蜥蜴科 丽斑麻蜥 卵孵化 孵化成功率 幼体表型 运动表现

In reptiles, as in other vertebrate and invertebrate taxa(Meats, 1984; Ratte, 1985; Deeming and Ferguson, 1991; West-Eberhard, 2003), temperature regimes experienced by embryos affect not only embryonic survivorship and rates of development, but also morphological, physiological and behavioral phenotypes of the hatchling (Joanen et al., 1987; Burger, 1991, 1998; Allsteadt and Lang, 1995; Packard and Phillips, 1995; Booth, 1999, 2000; Rhen and Lang, 1999a, b; Braña and Ji, 2000). In species with temperature-dependent sex determination, incubation or gestation temperature also affects the sexual phenotype (Janzen and Paukstis, 1991; Lang and Andrews, 1994; Viets et al., 1994; Robert and Thompson, 2001; Wapstra et al., 2004). Most studies of reptiles have been based on embryos developing at constant temperatures and, thus, whether and how fluctuating temperatures influence developing embryos differently than constant temperatures remain largely unknown.

Temperatures within natural nests are rarely constant but fluctuate daily and seasonally, with the mean and the amplitude of thermal fluctuations depending on locality, microhabitat, year and season. Therefore, the thermal impacts demonstrated in constant-temperature incubation often do not reflect what truly occurs in nature (Overall, 1994; Shine and Harlow, 1996; Shine et al., 1997a, b; Valenzuela, 2001). Recent work on incubation of reptilian eggs has preferred the simulation of nest thermal environments rather than applying constant temperature regimes. Data generated from this approach show that eggs of different species respond differentially to the mean and/or the variance of incubation temperatures (Overall, 1994; Georges et al., 1994; Shine and Harlow, 1996; Andrews et al., 2000; Webb et al., 2001; Chen and Ji, 2002; Chen et al., 2003; Ji et al., 2003; Asmore and Janzen, 2003; Du and Ji, 2006). However, fluctuating temperatures influence incubation length differently than constant temperatures with the same mean in some species of reptiles (Overall, 1994; Shine and Harlow, 1996; Asmore and Janzen, 2003) but not in others (Georges et al., 1994; Andrews et al., 2000; Webb et al., 2001).

The Mongolian racerunner *Eremias argus* studied herein is a small sized to 70 mm snout-vent length (SVL)] oviparous lacertid lizard that ranges from northern China (southward to Jiangsu and westward to Qinghai) to Russia (region of Lake Baikal), Mongolia and Korea (Zhao, 1999). Females lay eggs in shallow nests where temperatures vary pronouncedly in response to short-term environmental variation of thermal flux and, thus, offer an ideal model system in which to investigate phenotypically plastic responses of embryos to thermal fluctuations. In this study, we incubated eggs under four constant and one fluctuating temperature regimes to address three questions: (1) does daily exposure of eggs to extreme temperatures that are harmful or even lethal to embryos for brief periods have detrimental effects on hatching success and hatchling phenotypes? (2) what hatchling phenotypes are more likely to be affected by incubation temperature? (3) do fluctuating temperatures influence developing embryos differently than constant temperatures?

#### **1** Materials and methods

#### **1.1** Collection and animal care

Adult lizards (> 47 mm SVL) were collected by hand or noose in late April 2004 from several localities in the vicinity of Linfen (36°06′N, 111°33′E), Shanxi, northern China, and were transported to our laboratory in Hangzhou, where 16 - 20 individuals (females/males was c. 2/1) were housed together in each of the four 90 cm  $\times$  65 cm  $\times$  50 cm (length  $\times$ width  $\times$  height) communal cages with 5 cm depth sand and pieces of clay tile. These cages were placed in a room where air temperatures were never outside the range of 20 - 28°C. A 100-W light bulb, suspended at one end of each cage, created a thermal gradient ranging from ambient room temperature to 55°C for 12 h to allow thermoregulation during the photophase. Lizards were fed mealworms Tenebrio molitor and water enriched with vitamins and minerals ad libitum. Females with shelled oviductal eggs were removed from the communal cages, and housed individually in 20 cm  $\times$  15 cm  $\times$  20 cm egg-laying cages with 4 cm depth moist sand and a 20-W spotlight mounted in each cage to allow thermoregulation.

#### **1.2** Egg collection and incubation

Eggs were collected, measured and weighed no later than three hours after being laid, thereby avoiding any uncertainty about the initial mass due to loss or gain of water (Lin and Ji, 1998). The viability of freshly laid eggs was judged by the presence of a small embryonic disc using a spotlight. Post-oviposition females were measured, weighed and marked by painting before they were returned to the communal cages where they remained until they again carried shelled oviductal eggs, at which time they were once again transferred to the egg-laying cages. Ten freshly laid eggs sampled randomly from different clutches were dissected for identification of embryonic stage at oviposition, according to the criteria proposed by Dufaure and Hubert (1961).

Eggs were incubated under five temperature regimes (thermal treatments), and eggs from the same clutch were never incubated under the same thermal condition. One plastic container (30 cm  $\times$  15  $cm \times 10$  cm) was used to hold all the eggs, which were separated from each other by PVC tubes (inner diameter = 2 cm) for accurate identification of the emergent young, in a given thermal treatment. The containers holding eggs contained known amounts of vermiculite and distilled water (1 g water: 1 g dried vermiculite) to produce approximately -220 kPa water potential (Ji and Braña, 1999), and were covered with a perforated plastic membrane to retard water loss. Eggs were half-buried in the substrate, with the surface near the embryo being exposed to air inside the container. We weighed containers every other day and, if necessary, added distilled water to compensate for small loss of water due to evaporation and absorption of water by the incubating eggs.

Four containers were individually assigned to four different Shellab incubators (Sheldon MFG Inc, USA) inside which temperatures were controlled at 24, 27, 30 and 33 ( $\pm 0.3$ )°C, respectively. We moved containers among shelves daily according to a predetermined schedule to minimize any effects of thermal gradients inside the incubator. The remaining container (hereafter F-treatment) was placed in a  $60 \text{ cm} \times 60 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}$  chamber buried 15 cm below the ground surface in the bush-covered backvard of our laboratory, thereby mimicking thermal conditions in natural nests. A tinytalk datalogger (Gemini Pty, Australia) programmed to record temperature at onehour intervals was placed in the chamber throughout the experiment. Mean, minimal and maximal temperatures experienced by individual eggs in the Ftreatment varied from 26.5 - 31.6°C, 19.5 - 21.1°C and 36.1 - 37.7°C, respectively.

#### **1.3** Incubation length and hatchling phenotypes

Wet body mass was taken for each newly emerged young, and the incubation length was recorded as the number of days to pipping. All hatchlings were used to evaluate the effects of incubation temperature on locomotor performance on the day of hatching. We conducted all locomotor trials at the body temperature of  $30^{\circ}$ C, which was controlled by placing hatchlings in an incubator at the correspondent temperature for a minimum of 30 min prior to testing. Locomotor performance was assessed by chasing hatchlings along a 2-m racetrack with one side transparent, which allowed videoing with a Panasonic NV-DS77 digital video camera. The tapes were later examined with a computer using MGI VideoWave III software (MGI Software Co., Canada) for sprint speed in the fastest 15-cm interval, the maximal distance traveled without stopping (hereafter the maximal distance) and the number of stops in the racetrack.

After examination of locomotor performance, hatchlings were killed by freezing to  $-15^{\circ}$  for later collection of morphological data. Morphological measurements taken for each killed hatchling included: SVL, tail length, head length (from the snout to the anterior edge of tympanum), head width (taken at the posterior end of the mandible), fore-limb length (humerus plus ulna), hind-limb length (femur plus tibia) and the number of ventral scales. Hatchlings were sexed by pressing on both sides of the tail base using forceps for the presence or absence of hemipenes; the presence of hemipenes allowed unequivocal sex assignation of males (Ji and Braña, 1999). After taking these measurements, each hatchling was separated into carcass, fat bodies and residual yolk. These components were dried in an oven at  $60^{\circ}$ C to constant mass, and then weighed.

#### 1.4 Data analyses

All data were tested for normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) and homogeneity of variances (Bartlett test), and loge transformations were performed when necessary to satisfy the assumptions for parametric tests. Parametric analyses were used to analyze data when the assumptions for these analyses were met; otherwise, nonparametric analyses were used. Values are presented as Mean  $\pm 1$  standard error, and the significance level is set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

### 2 Results

Females laid up to two clutches per breeding season stretching from late April to early August. Clutch size averaged 3.0 (SE = 0.1; range = 2-6, n = 45) eggs in the first clutch and 3.1(SE = 0.1; range = 2-5, n = 38) eggs in the second clutch, and egg mass averaged 0.43(SE = 0.01; range = 0.31 - 0.57, n = 45) g in the first clutch and 0.42(SE = 0.01; range = 0.31 - 0.58, n = 38) g in the second clutch. Only eggs of the first clutch were used in this investigation. Of the ten embryos identified at oviposition, five were at Stage 26, three at Stage 25 and one at Stage 23 in Dufaure and Hubert's (1961) developmental series.

## 2.1 Hatching success, incubation length and the sex ratio of hatchlings

Hatching success did not differ significantly among treatments (G = 1.32, df = 4, P > 0.75; Table 1). Incubation length, which was not correlated with initial egg mass within each treatment (all P> 0.10), differed considerably among treatments (Kruskal-Wallis test,  $H_{4, n=98} = 88.63$ , P <0.0001). Incubation length decreased exponentially as incubation temperature increased in eggs incubated at constant temperatures, with the mean incubation length being shortened by 14.1 days from 24°C to 27°C, 11.1 days from 27°C to 30°C, and 2.6 days from  $30^{\circ}$  to  $33^{\circ}$  (Table 1). Incubation length was negatively correlated with the mean temperature during incubation in the F-treatment ( $F_{1, 14} = 127.35$ , P < 0.0001; Fig.1). Eggs incubated at fluctuating temperatures differed significantly from eggs incubated at constant temperatures with the same mean in incubation length (ANCOVA,  $F_{1, 17} = 20.49$ , P <0.0003), with the mean incubation length being longer in the F-treatment at any given temperature. More female hatchlings (59 females / 39 males) were produced in this study, but the sex ratio of hatchlings did not differ significantly among treatments (G =1.43, df = 4, P > 0.75) (Table 1).

Incubation length, hatching success and the sex ratio of hatchling E. argus derived from eggs incubated under different Table 1 temperature regimes

Thermal treatment	Number of incubated egg	Incubation length (d)	Hatching success(%)	Sex ratio(F/M)
24°C	30	$56.0 \pm 0.6 (50.0 - 59.4)$	76.7(23/30)	14/9
27°C	18	$41.9 \pm 0.5(39.5 - 47.0)$	83.3(15/18)	9/6
30°C	18	$30.8 \pm 0.3(28.9 - 33.0)$	88.9(16/18)	9/7
33°C	34	$28.2 \pm 0.4(23.9 - 30.9)$	82.4(28/34)	19/9
F	23	$37.2 \pm 1.0(32.8 - 46.0)$	69.6(16/23)	8/8

Data on duration of incubation are expressed as Mean  $\pm SE(\text{range})$ . F: female. M: male



Linear regressions of incubation length on the Fig.1 mean incubation temperature

Solid dots: eggs incubated at fluctuating temperatures; open dots: eggs incubated at constant temperatures. Regression lines are given in the figure

#### 2.2Hatchling phenotypes

Preliminary two-way ANOVAs (with sex and thermal treatment as the factors) on residuals from the regressions of the involved hatchling variables on initial egg mass did not reveal between-sex differences in body mass and hatchling components (all P >(0.15), so we pooled data for both sexes. Incubation

temperature significantly affected hatchling dry mass, carcass dry mass and residual yolk dry mass but not hatchling wet mass and fatbody dry mass, with dry body mass and carcass dry mass being apparently smaller in the 33°C treatment than in other four treatments (Table 2). More yolks remained unutilized at hatching at the two high incubation temperatures  $(30^{\circ}C \text{ and } 33^{\circ}C)$  (Table 2).

Except for the number of ventral scales, morphological phenotypes did not differ among treatments (Table 3). The number of ventral scales was greatest in the 33°C treatment and smallest in the 27°C and F treatments, with the 24°C and 30°C treatments in between (Table 3). Female hatchlings were larger in SVL but smaller in head length, and had more ventral scales than male hatchlings from the same sized eggs (Table 3).

None of the three locomotor variables was correlated with hatchling SVL and differed between sexes (all P > 0.10). One-way ANOVA with thermal treatment as the factor revealed that sprint speed  $(F_{4,93} = 6.01, P < 0.0003)$ , the maximal distance  $(F_{4,93} = 12.65, P < 0.0001)$  and the number of stops all differed significantly among treatments. Sprint speed was slower in the 33°C and faster in other four treatments (Fig.2A). The maximal length was longer in the 24°C and 27°C treatments and shorter in the remaining three treatments (Fig.2B). The number of stops was greatest in the 33°C treatment and smallest in 24°C and 27°C treatments, with the  $30^{\circ}$ C and F treatments in between (Fig. 2C).

	Thermal treatments					
	24°C	27°C	30°C	33°C	F	F values and significance levels
N	14F/9M	9F/6M	9F/7M	19F/9M	8F/8M	
Initia legg mass	$\begin{array}{c} 423.5 \pm 12.7 \\ (317.0 - 568.0) \end{array}$	$386.7 \pm 12.5 \\ (295.0 - 463.0)$	$\begin{array}{c} 407.7 \pm 13.4 \\ (321.0 - 498.0) \end{array}$	415.1±10.9 (329.0-586.0)	$382.8 \pm 12.9 \\ (260.0 - 475.0)$	$F_{4, 93} = 1.91, P = 0.116$
Wet body mass	$548.4 \pm 17.7 \\ (360.8 - 714.1)$	491.2±16.0 (345.3-582.5)	$526.0 \pm 20.1 \\ (338.7 - 640.5)$	$508.7 \pm 11.1 \\ (387.4 - 680.0)$	$\begin{array}{c} 476.1 \pm 15.7 \\ (342.6 - 579.0) \end{array}$	$F_{4, 92} = 1.90, P = 0.117$
Dry body mass	$\begin{array}{c} 102.2 \pm 4.1 \\ (64.4 - 138.9) \end{array}$	92.5±3.2 (65.2-109.4)	$\begin{array}{c} 103.0 \pm 4.7 \\ (65.9 - 140.7) \end{array}$	92.6±2.9 (62.4-131.4)	89.7±3.3 (61.4-108.2)	$F_{4, 92} = 2.50, P = 0.047$ 24 <sup>ab</sup> , 27 <sup>ab</sup> , 30 <sup>a</sup> , 33 <sup>b</sup> , F <sup>ab</sup>
Carcass dry mass	95.2±3.4 (63.8-126.6)	88.4±2.9 (64.5-104.9)	92.6±3.7 (62.5-119.1)	83.7±2.2 (55.9-115.0)	$\begin{array}{c} 85.0 \pm 3.0 \\ (56.5 - 102.0) \end{array}$	$F_{4, 92} = 4.35, P < 0.003$ 24 <sup>a</sup> , 27 <sup>a</sup> , 30 <sup>a</sup> , 33 <sup>b</sup> , F <sup>ab</sup>
Fatbody dry mass	$2.3 \pm 0.4$ (Nil-6.7)	$2.0\pm0.3$ (0.7-4.8)	$2.6 \pm 0.4$ (Nil-5.4)	$1.6 \pm 0.2$ (Nil-4.1)	$1.7 \pm 0.3$ (Nil-3.7)	$F_{4, 93} = 1.89, P = 0.118$
Residual yolk dry mass	$4.6 \pm 0.9$ (Nil-15.4)	$2.1\pm0.6$ (Nil-6.3)	$7.7 \pm 1.4$ (Nil - 19.8)	$7.4 \pm 1.1$ (Nil-23.0)	$3.0\pm0.7$ (Nil-7.7)	$F_{4, 93} = 4.86, P < 0.002$ $24^{ab}, 27^{b}, 30^{a}, 33^{a}, F^{ab}$

Table 2 Descriptive statistics for body mass of hatchling *E*. *argus* derived from eggs incubated under different temperature regimes (all mass units are in mg)

Data are expressed as Mean  $\pm$  SE (range). F values of ANOVAs (for initial egg mass, fatbody dry mass and residual yolk day mass) or ANCOVAs (for the remaining variables with initial egg mass as the covariate), and significance levels are indicated in the table. Means corresponding to different thermal treatments with different superscripts differ significantly (Tukey's post-hoc test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ; a > b)

Table 3 Morphological phenotypes of hatchling *E*. *argus* derived from eggs incubated under different temperature regimes (all length units are in mm)

	C		Thermal treatments				Effects		
	Sex	24°C	27°C	30°C	33°C	F	Sex	Temperature	Interaction
N		14F/9M	9F/6M	9F/7M	19F/9M	8F/8M			
Snout-vent length	F	$27.0 \pm 0.3 \\ 25.2 - 30.0$	$26.7 \pm 0.5 \\ 23.6 - 28.5$	$27.1 \pm 0.4 \\ 25.9 - 29.3$	$26.5 \pm 0.4 \\ 23.3 - 29.6$	$27.1 \pm 0.4 \\ 24.9 - 29.4$	$F_{1, 87} = 5.59,$ P = 0.020;	$F_{4, 87} = 1.55,$	$F_{4, 87} = 1.89,$
	М	$26.8 \pm 0.4 \\ 25.1 - 28.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.6 \pm 0.3 \\ 25.2 - 27.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.0 \pm 0.7 \\ 22.6 - 28.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.0 \pm 0.4 \\ 24.4 - 27.4 \end{array}$	$25.7 \pm 0.4 \\ 23.8 - 28.0$	F>M	P = 0.196	P = 0.127
Tail length	F	$\begin{array}{c} 33.4 \pm 0.7 \\ 26.2 - 36.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.3 \pm 0.7 \\ 29.5 - 35.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34.0 \pm 0.5 \\ 31.5 - 36.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.3 \pm 0.8 \\ 24.9 - 38.1 \end{array}$	$33.4 \pm 1.0 \\ 28.2 - 36.9$	$F_{1, 87} = 3.22,$ P = 0.076	$F_{4, 87} = 1.87,$ P = 0.123	$F_{4, 87} = 1.79,$ P = 0.138
	М	$\begin{array}{c} 34.5 \pm 1.0 \\ 30.0 - 38.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 31.6 \pm 1.2 \\ 27.7 - 36.1 \end{array}$	$35.5 \pm 2.4 \\ 23.2 - 42.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.8 \pm 0.8 \\ 31.2 - 39.0 \end{array}$	$31.8 \pm 1.1$ 27.7 - 36.0			
Head length	F	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{7.0} \pm \textbf{0.1} \\ \textbf{6.5} - \textbf{7.4} \end{array}$	$6.6 \pm 0.1$ 6.1 - 7.1	$6.7 \pm 0.1$ 6.3 - 7.1	$6.9 \pm 0.1$ 6.1 - 7.5	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{7.0} \pm \textbf{0.1} \\ \textbf{6.7} - \textbf{7.2} \end{array}$	$F_{1, 87} = 5.88,$ P = 0.017,	$F_{4, 87} = 0.74$ ,	$F_{4, 87} = 4.45$ ,
	М	$6.9 \pm 0.1$ 6.2 - 7.3	$\begin{array}{c} 7.0\pm0.1\\ 6.6-7.3\end{array}$	$6.9 \pm 0.1$ 6.1 - 7.2	$6.7 \pm 0.1$ 6.0 - 7.3	$6.8 \pm 0.1$ 6.4 - 7.3	P=0.017, F <m< td=""><td>P = 0.570</td><td><i>P</i>&lt; 0.003</td></m<>	P = 0.570	<i>P</i> < 0.003
Head width	F	$5.1 \pm 0.1$ 4.3 - 5.9	$5.3 \pm 0.1$ 4.8 - 5.5	$\begin{array}{c} 5.0\pm0.1\\ 4.7-5.2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.9 \pm 0.05 \\ 4.6 - 5.2 \end{array}$	$5.2 \pm 0.1$ 5.1 - 5.6	$F_{1, 87} = 2.62,$ P = 0.109	$F_{4, 87} = 0.76,$ P = 0.551	$F_{4, 87} = 3.72,$ P < 0.008
	М	$\begin{array}{c} 5.2\pm0.1\\ 4.95.8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.1\pm0.1\\ 4.8-5.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.2\pm0.1\\ 4.9-5.5\end{array}$	$5.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.7 - 5.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.0\pm0.1\\ 4.5-5.5\end{array}$			
Fore-limb length	F	$7.1 \pm 0.1 \\ 6.3 - 8.1$	$7.0 \pm 0.2$ 6.4 - 7.9	$7.1 \pm 0.1$ 6.5 - 7.8	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{7.0} \pm \textbf{0.1} \\ \textbf{6.0} - \textbf{8.0} \end{array}$	$6.9 \pm 0.2$ 5.9 - 7.4	$F_{1, 87} = 0.44,$ P = 0.510	$F_{4, 87} = 0.77,$ P = 0.551	$F_{4, 87} = 0.61,$ P = 0.658
	М	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{7.1} \pm \textbf{0.2} \\ \textbf{6.5} - \textbf{7.8} \end{array}$	$7.1 \pm 0.2 \\ 6.3 - 7.8$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.9 \pm 0.2 \\ 5.6 - 7.3 \end{array}$	$6.8 \pm 0.1$ 6.1 - 7.5	$6.7 \pm 0.1 \\ 6.1 - 7.4$			
Hind-limb length	F	$\begin{array}{c} 9.7 \pm 0.2 \\ 8.6 - 10.8 \end{array}$	$9.9 \pm 0.2$ 8.7 - 10.7	$\begin{array}{c} 10.2 \pm 0.2 \\ 9.4 - 11.0 \end{array}$	$9.9 \pm 0.2$ 8.7 - 11.1	$9.7 \pm 0.2$ 8.7 - 10.8	$F_{1, 87} = 0.01,$ P = 0.931	$F_{4, 87} = 2.07,$ P = 0.090	$F_{4, 87} = 0.33,$ P = 0.854
	М	$9.7 \pm 0.2$ 8.1 - 10.4	$9.8 \pm 0.3$ 8.9 - 10.7	$9.7 \pm 0.3$ 7.8 - 10.6	$9.7 \pm 0.2$ 8.9 - 11.0	$9.4 \pm 0.2$ 8.2 - 10.6			
Ventral scale	F	$\begin{array}{c} 31.6\pm0.7\\ 28-37 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.2\pm0.4\\ 28-33 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 31.9\pm0.8\\ 28-36 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.3\pm0.7\\ 26-40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.3\pm0.5\\ 28-33 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} F_{1, 88} = 6.75, \\ P = 0.011; \\ F > M \end{array} \begin{array}{c} P < 0.000 \\ 27 \end{array}$	$F_{4,88} = 3.91,$ $P < 0.006; 24^{ab},$	$F_{4, 88} = 1.14,$ P = 0.344
	М	$\begin{array}{c} 29.9\pm0.7\\ 28-34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29.3\pm0.7\\ 27-32 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.4\pm0.7\\ 27-33 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.2\pm0.6\\ 27-34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29.2\pm0.4\\ 27-31 \end{array}$		27 <sup>b</sup> , 30 <sup>ab</sup> , 33 <sup>a</sup> , F <sup>b</sup>	

Data are expressed as Mean  $\pm$  SE (range). F values of two-way ANOVA (for ventral scale) or ANCOVAs (for the remaining variables with initial egg mass as the covariate), and significance levels are indicated in the table. Means corresponding to different thermal treatments with different superscripts differ significantly (Tukey's post-hoc test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ; a > b)



#### **3** Discussion

It has been documented in reptiles that high temperatures influence developing embryos differently than low temperatures. For example, exposure of reptilian eggs to extremely high temperatures markedly increases embryonic mortality and abnormality, whereas low temperatures, although slow or arrest embryonic development, usually have no lethal effect on embryos (Sexton and Marion, 1974; Andrews and Rose, 1994; Andrews et al., 1997). The lower and upper threshold temperatures over which hatching success decreases dramatically differ not only among but also within species differing in habitat use and/or distribution. For example, eggs cannot be incubated at temperatures higher than 28°C in Scincella modesta (slender forest skink) using cool habitats (Lu et al., 2006), whereas the detrimental effects on hatching success cannot be detected until eggs are incubated at temperatures higher than 30°C in lizards such as Takydromus septentrionalis (northern grass lizard; Lin and Ji, 1998), T. wolteri (white-striped grass lizard; Pan and Ji., 2001) and Calotes versicolor (oriental garden lizard; Ji et al., 2002b) using warm habitats. In Eumeces chinensis (Chinese skink), eggs from a lower latitudinal population have a narrower range of lower and upper threshold incubation temperatures than do those from a higher latitudinal population, primarily because of more stable thermal environments in the former popu-



Fig. 2 Mean values (+SE) for locomotor performance [sprint speed (A), the maximal distance (B) and the number of stops (C) ] of hatchlings derived from eggs incubated under different temperature regimes Means corresponding to different thermal treatments

with different letters differ significantly (Tukey's post-hoc test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ; a > b > c)

lation (Ji and Zhang, 2001; Ji et al., 2002a).

Thermal environments in northern China are characterized by the low mean but the great amplitude of thermal fluctuations. In face of these thermal environments, the extent to which lizards may enjoy reproductive benefits should depend on how well their eggs can tolerate extreme temperatures. Compared with the results reported for lizards living in warmer and thermally more stable regions (Lin and Ji, 1998; Ji and Braña, 1999; Pan and Ji, 2001; Ji and Zhang, 2001; Ji et al., 2002b), hatching successes at 24°C and 33°C are both high in E.argus, suggesting the existence of a widened range of viable incubation temperatures in lizards living in thermally more variable regions (Ji et al., 2002a).

In this study, eggs incubated at fluctuating temperatures, depending on oviposition date, had the experience with being exposed to temperatures up to 36.1 - 37.7°C occurring mainly between  $1\ 200 - 1\ 400\ h$  (Beijing time). Prolonged exposure of eggs to temperatures higher than 33°C has a lethal effect on embryos in all species of lizards studied to date, including *T. septentrionalis* (Lin and Ji, 1998; Du and Ji, 2006), *T. wolteri* (Pan and Ji., 2001), *E. chinensis* (Ji and Zhang, 2001; Chen et al., 2003), *E. elegans* (blue-tailed skink; Du et al., 2003) and *C. versicolor* (Ji et al., 2002b). Interestingly, however, the F-treatment did not differ significantly from the other four treatments in hatching success. This finding suggests that, as in other

Incubation length decreases as incubation temperature increases in *E.argus*. This pattern is widespread in reptiles, although incubation length at any given temperature may differ among species differing in egg size and/or embryonic stage at oviposition. It is worthy noting, however, that a substantial portion (  $\sim 88\%$ ) of variation in incubation length could be explained by the mean incubation temperature in the F-treatment and that the mean incubation length at any given mean incubation temperature was longer in this treatment (Fig.2). These results suggest that, as in Apalone mutica (smooth soft-shelled turtle; Ashmore and Janzen, 2003), thermal fluctuations may increase incubation length in *E. argus*. In other species of reptiles, however, thermal fluctuations either reduce incubation length (Overall, 1994; Shine and Harlow, 1996) or do not influence incubation length differently than constant temperatures with nearly the same mean (Georges et al., 1994; Andrews et al., 2000; Webb et al., 2001). It seems that eggs of different reptilian species respond differentially to thermal fluctuations.

In this study, except for the effects of incubation temperature on body dry mass, residual yolk dry mass, carcass dry mass and the number of ventral scales, little variation was detected among measures of size and morphology of hatchlings across the five temperature treatments (Table 3). Body dry mass and carcass dry mass differed among treatments, but the differences were actually very slight (Table 3). Therefore, our results are largely consistent with the findings from similar studies of reptiles that show the existence of a range of temperatures within which no differential effects of incubation temperature on hatchling phenotypes can be detected (e.g., Van Damme et al., 1992; Ji and Braña, 1999; Braña and Ji, 2000; Ji and Du, 2001a, b; Ji and Zhang, 2001; Ji et al., 2002b; Lin and Ji, 2004). The result that more yolks remained unutilized at hatching when eggs are incubated at high temperatures is not surprising, because it seems to be common in all species of reptiles studied to date (e.g., Beuchat, 1988; Phillips et al., 1990; Phillips and Packard, 1994; Ji and Braña, 1999; Ji and Du, 2001a, b; Ji and Zhang, 2001; Ji et al., 2002b; Lin and Ji, 2004; Lin et al., 2005).

Incubation temperatures within the range of  $24 - 30^{\circ}$ C almost exerted no differential effects on size

and morphology of hatchling in *E.argus*. Interestingly, however, incubation temperatures within the range significantly affected locomotor performance (both the maximal distance and the number of stops) of hatchlings, with hatchlings incubated at 24°C and 28°C performing better than did hatchlings incubated at 30°C (Fig. 3). This result provides strong evidence showing that hatchlings incubated at temperatures higher than 30°C may exhibit major detrimental effects. Overall, locomotor performance was best in the low temperature treatments (24 $^{\circ}$ C and 27 $^{\circ}$ C) and worst in the high temperature treatment  $(33^{\circ}C)$ , with the moderate temperature treatments  $(30^{\circ}C)$  and F) in between. Unlike A. mutica (Ashmore and Janzen, 2003) and T. septentrionalis (Du and Ji, 2006) in which increased thermal variance during embryonic development leads to enhanced locomotor performance of hatchlings, thermal fluctuations did not positively affects locomotor performance of hatchlings in this study. However, whether this difference can be attributed to differential embryonic responses to thermal fluctuations in different reptilian species or to extremely high temperatures (  $>36^{\circ}$ C ) experienced by developing *E*. argus embryos remains unknown.

Taken together, our data show that daily exposure of eggs to extreme temperatures that are potentially lethal to embryos for brief periods does not have detectable adverse effects on hatching success and morphological phenotypes of hatchlings in E.argus. Of the hatchling phenotypes examined, body dry mass, carcass dry mass, residual yolk dry mass and locomotor performance are more likely to be affected by incubation temperature. Thermal fluctuations exert no positive effects on locomotor performance of hatchlings for some unknown reasons, but influence incubation length differently than constant temperatures with the same mean.

Acknowledgements The experiment complies with the current laws of China. We thank GAO Jian-Fang, HANG Jun, LU Hong-Liang, LUO Lai-Gao, QU Yan-Fu and ZHANG Ling for their help during the research.

#### References

- Allsteadt J, Lang JW, 1995. Incubation temperature affects body size and energy reserves of hatchling alligators. Physiol. Zool. 68: 76-97.
- Andrews RM, Mathies T, Warner DA, 2000. Effects of incubation temperature on morphology, growth, and survival of juvenile *Sceloporus undulatus*. Herpetol. Monogr. 14: 420-431.
- Andrews RM, Qualls CP, Rose BR, 1997. Effects of low temperature on embryonic development of *Sceloporus* lizards. Copeia 1997: 827-833.
- Andrews RM, Rose BR, 1994. Evolution of viviparity: constraints on egg retention. Physiol. Zool. 67: 1 006 1 024.
- Ashmore GM, Janzen FJ, 2003. Phenotypic variation in smooth softshell turtles *Apalone mutica* from eggs incubated in constant versus

fluctuating temperatures. Oecologia 134: 182-188.

- Beuchat CA, 1988. Temperature effects during gestation in a viviparous lizard. J. Therm. Biol. 13: 135 – 142.
- Booth DT, 1999. Incubation temperature and growth of Brisbane river turtle *Emydura signata* hatchlings. Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. W. 121: 45 – 52.
- Booth DT, 2000. Incubation of eggs of the Australian broad shelled turtle *Chelodina expansa* (Testudinata: Chelidae) at different temperatures: effects on pattern of oxygen consumption and hatchling morphology. Aust. J. Zool. 48: 369–378.
- Braña F, Ji X, 2000. Influence of incubation temperature on morphology, locomotor performance, and early growth of hatchling wall lizards *Podarcis murslis*. J. Exp. Zool. 286: 422-433.
- Burger J, 1991. Effects of incubation temperature on behavior of hatchling pine snakes: implications for reptilian distribution. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 28: 297 – 303.
- Burger J, 1998. Antipredator behaviour of hatchling snake: effects of incubation temperature and stimulated predators. Anim. Behav. 56: 547-553.
- Chen HL, Ji X, 2002. The effects of thermal environments on duration of incubation, hatching success and hatchling traits in a colubrid snake *Rhabdophis tigrinus lateralis* (Boie). Acta Ecol. Sinica 22: 1 850 – 1 858 (In Chinese).
- Chen XJ, Lin ZH, Ji X, 2003. Further study on effects of temperature on egg incubation in Chinese skinks *Eumeces chinensis* at Lishui, Zhejiang. Zool. Res. 24: 21–25 (In Chinese).
- Deeming DC, Ferguson MWJ, 1991. Physiological effects of incubation temperature on embryonic development in reptiles and birds. In: Deeming DC, Ferguson MWJ ed. Egg Incubation, Its Effect on Embryonic Development in Birds and Reptiles. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 147 – 171.
- Du WG, Ji X, 2003. The effects of incubation thermal environments on size, locomotor performance and early growth of hatchling softshelled turtles *Pelodiscus sinensis*. J. Therm. Biol. 28: 279 – 286.
- Du WG, Ji X, 2006. Effects of constant and fluctuating temperatures on egg survival and hatchling traits in the northern grass lizard (*Takydromus septentrionalis*, Lacertidae). J. Exp. Zool. A 305: 47-54.
- Du WG, Shou L, Liu JK, 2003. The effects of incubation temperature on egg survival, hatchling traits and embryonic use of energy in the blue-tailed skink *Eumeces elegans*. Anim. Biol. 53: 27 – 36.
- Dufaure JP, Hubert J, 1961. Table de développement du lézard vivipare: Lacerta (Zootoca) vivipara Jacquin. Arch. Anat. Micr. Morph. Exp. 50: 309-328.
- Georges A, Limpus C, Stoutjesdijk R, 1994. Hatchling sex in the marine turtle *Caretta caretta* is determined by proportion of developmental times between constant and fluctuating temperatures. J. Exp. Zool. 270: 432-444.
- Janzen FJ, Paukstis GL, 1991. Environmental sex determination in reptiles: ecology, evolution, and experimental design. Q. Rev. Biol. 66: 149-179.
- Ji X, Braña F, 1999. The influence of thermal and hydric environments on incubating eggs and embryonic use of energy and nutrients in the wall lizard *Podarcis muralis*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 124A: 205 – 213.
- Ji X, Chen F, Du WG, Chen HL, 2003. Incubation temperature affects hatchling growth but not sexual phenotype in the Chinese softshelled turtle *Pelodiscus sinensis*. J. Zool. Lond. 261: 409 – 416.
- Ji X, Du WG, 2001a. The effects of thermal and hydric environments on hatching success, embryonic use of energy and hatchling traits in a colubrid snake *Elaphe carinata*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 129A: 461-471.
- Ji X, Du WG, 2001b. The effects of thermal and hydric conditions on incubating eggs and hatchling traits in the cobra Naja naja atra. J. Herpetol. 35: 186-194.
- Ji X, Huang HY, Hu XZ, Du WG, 2002a. Geographic variation in female reproductive characteristics and egg incubation in the Chinese skink *Eumeces chinensis*. Chin. J. Appl. Ecol. 13: 680-684 (In

Chinese).

- Ji X, Qiu QB, Diong CH, 2002b. Influence of incubation temperature on hatching success, embryonic use of energy, and size and morphology of hatchlings in the oriental garden lizard *Calotes versicolor* (Agamidae). J. Exp. Zool. 292: 649-659.
- Ji X, Zhang CH, 2001. Effects of thermal and hydric environments on incubating eggs, hatching success, and hatchling traits in Chinese the skink *Eumeces chinensis*. Acta Zool. Sinica 47: 256 – 265 (In Chinese).
- Joanen T, McNease L, Ferguson MWJ, 1987. The effects of egg incubation on post-hatching growth of American alligators. In: Webb GJW, Manolis SC, Whitehead PJ ed. Wildlife Management: Crocodiles and Alligators. Sydney: Surrey Beatty, 533 – 537.
- Lang JW, Andrews HV, 1994. Temperature-dependent sex determination in crocodilians. J. Exp. Zool. 270: 28 – 44.
- Lin ZH, Ji X, 1998. The effects of thermal and hydric environments on incubation eggs and hatchlings of the grass lizard *Takydromus septentrionalis*. Zool. Res. 19: 439–445 (In Chinese).
- Lin ZH, Ji X, 2004. Reproductive output and effects of incubation thermal environments on hatchling phenotypes of mucous rat snakes *Ptyas mucosus*. Acta Zool. Sinica 50: 541 – 550 (In Chinese).
- Lin ZH, Ji X, Luo LG, Ma XM, 2005. Incubation temperature affects hatching success, embryonic expenditure of energy and hatchling phenotypes of a prolonged egg-retaining snake *Deinagkistrodon acutus* (Viperidae). J. Therm. Biol. 30: 289–297.
- Lu HL, Ji X, Lin LH, Zhang L, 2006. Relatively low threshold temperature in lizards from cool habitats. J. Therm. Biol. 31: 256 – 261.
- Meats A. 1984. Thermal constraints to successful development of the Queensland fruit fly in regimes of constant and fluctuating temperatures. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 36: 55 – 59.
- Overall KL, 1994. Lizard egg environments. In: Vitt LJ, Pianka ER ed. Lizard Ecology: Historical and Experimental Perspectives. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 51 – 72.
- Packard GC, Phillips JA, 1995. The influence of the physical environment for the incubation of reptilian eggs. In: Murphy JB, Adler K, Collins JT ed. Captive Management and Conservation of Amphibians and Reptiles. Ithaca: Society for Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, 195 – 208.
- Pan ZC, Ji X, 2001. The influence of incubation temperature on size, morphology, and locomotor performance of hatchling grass lizard *Takydromus wolteri*. Acta Ecol. Sinica 21: 2 031-2 038.
- Phillips JA, Garel A, Packard GC, Packard MJ, 1990. Influence of moisture and temperature on eggs and embryos of green iguanas *Iguana iguana*. Herpetologica 46: 238 – 245.
- Phillips JA, Packard GC, 1994. Influence of temperature and moisture on eggs and embryos of the white-throated Savanna monitor *Varanus albigularis*: implications for conservation. Biol. Conserv. 69: 131–136.
- Ratte H, 1985. Temperature and insect development. In: Hoffman KH ed. Environmental Physiology and Biochemistry of Insects. New York: Springer, 33-66.
- Rhen T, Lang JW, 1999a. Incubation temperature and sex affect mass and energy reserves of hatchling snapping turtle *Chelydra serpenti*na. Oikos 86: 311 – 319.
- Rhen T, Lang JW, 1999. Temperature during embryonic and juvenile development influences growth in hatchling snapping turtles *Chelydra serpentina*. J. Therm. Biol. 24: 33-41.
- Robert KA, Thompson MB, 2001. Viviparous lizard selects sex of embryos. Nature 412: 698 – 699.
- Sexton OJ, Marion KR, 1974. Duration of incubation of Sceloporus undulatus eggs at constant temperature. Physiol. Zool. 47: 91 – 98.
- Shine R, Elphick MJ, Harlow PS, 1997a. The influence of natural incubation environments on the phenotypic traits of hatchling lizards. Ecology 78: 2 559-2 568.
- Shine R, Harlow PS, 1996. Maternal manipulation of offspring phenotypes via nest-site selection in an oviparous lizard. Ecology 77: 1 808 – 1 817.

- Shine R, Thomas R, Madsen L, Elphick ML, Harlow PS, 1997b. The influence of nest temperatures and maternal brooding on hatchling phenotypes in water pythons. Ecology 78: 1 713 – 1 721.
- Valenzuela N, 2001. Constant, shift, and natural temperature effects on sex determination in *Podocnemis expansa* turtles. Ecology 82: 3 010-3 024.
- Van Damme R, Bauwens D, Braña F, Verheyen RF, 1992. Incubation temperature differentially affects hatching time, egg survival, and hatchling performance in the lizard *Podarcis muralis*. Herpetologica 48: 220–228.
- Viets B, Ewert MA, Talent LG, Nelson CE, 1994. Sex determining mechanism in squamate reptiles. J. Exp. Zool. 270: 45-56.
- Wapstra E, Olsson M, Shine R, Edwards A, Swain R, Joss JMP, 2004. Maternal basking behaviour determines offspring sex in a viviparous reptile. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B 271: S230 – S232.
- Webb JK, Brown GP, Shine R, 2001. Body size, locomotor speed and antipredator behaviour in a tropical snake (*Tropidonophis mairii*, Colubridae): the influence of incubation environments and genetic factors. Func. Ecol. 15: 651–658.
- West-Eberhard MJ, 2003. Developmental Plasticity and Evolution. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Zhao KT, 1999. Lacertidae. In: Zhao EM, Zhao KT, Zhou KY ed. Fauna Sinica, Reptilia Vol. 2 (Squamata: Lacertilia). Beijing: Science Press, 219–242.

- 陈慧丽, 计 翔, 2002. 热环境对虎斑颈槽蛇卵孵化期、孵化成功 率和孵出幼体特征的影响. 生态学报 22:1 850-1 858.
- 陈雪君,林植华,计 翔,2003.浙江丽水中国石龙子卵孵化温度 效应的进一步研究.动物学研究 24:21-25.
- 计 翔,黄红英,胡晓忠,杜卫国,2002a.中国石龙子雌体繁殖特 征和卵孵化的地理变异.应用生态学报 13:680-684.
- 计 翔,章朝华,2001.水热环境对中国石龙子孵化卵、孵化成功 率及孵出幼体特征的影响.动物学报 47:250-259.
- 林植华, 计 翔, 1998. 孵化温湿度对北草蜥孵化卵和孵出幼体的 影响. 动物学研究 19: 439-445.
- 林植华, 计 翔, 2004. 滑鼠蛇的繁殖输出及孵化热环境对孵出幼 体表型特征的影响. 动物学报 50: 541-550.
- 潘志崇, 计 翔, 2001. 孵化温度对白条草蜥孵出幼体大小、形态 和运动表现的影响. 生态学报 21: 2 031-2 038.
- 赵肯堂,1999. 蜥蜴科.见:赵尔宓,赵肯堂,周开亚等编.中国动物志爬行纲第二卷(有鳞目:蜥蜴亚目).北京:科学出版社, 219-242.